

## CHARACTERIZATION OF SUMMABILITY POINTS OF NÖRLUND METHODS

KARL-GOSWIN GROSSE-ERDMANN AND KARIN STADTMÜLLER

**ABSTRACT.** By a theorem of F. Leja any regular Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  sums a given power series  $f$  at most at countably many points outside its disc of convergence. This result was recently extended to a class of non-regular Nörlund methods by K. Stadtmüller. In this paper we obtain a more detailed picture showing how possible points of summability and the value of summation depend on  $p$  and  $f$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p = (p_n)_{n=0,1,\dots}$  be a sequence of complex numbers such that  $P_n := \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . This sequence generates a Nörlund method  $(N, p)$ , where the transformation matrix  $A = (\alpha_{n\nu})_{n,\nu=0,1,\dots}$  is given by

$$\alpha_{n\nu} = \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{P_n} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq n, \quad \alpha_{n\nu} = 0 \quad \text{if } \nu > n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Thus, the  $(N, p)$ -transforms of a sequence  $(s_n)$  are given by

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_\nu / P_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

and  $(s_n)$  is  $(N, p)$ -summable to the value  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma = (N, p)\text{-}\lim s_n$ , if  $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Throughout this paper let

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad \text{with} \quad \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} = \frac{1}{R} \quad (0 \leq R \leq \infty)$$

be a power series with partial sums  $s_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ . Its  $(N, p)$ -transforms are given by

$$\sigma_n(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{P_n} s_\nu(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{p_{n-\nu}}{P_n} a_\nu z^\nu,$$

where the first equality represents the so-called sequence-sequence form and the second the series-sequence form. For Nörlund methods both transforms

---

Received by the editors November 3, 1993; originally communicated to the *Proceedings of the AMS* by Andrew Bruckner.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30B10, 40G05; Secondary 40D09.

*Key words and phrases*. Power series, matrix transforms, Nörlund methods, summability points.

are equivalent. If  $\sigma_n(z_0) \rightarrow \sigma(z_0)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we say that the power series  $f$  is  $(N, p)$ -summable at  $z_0$  and write  $(N, p)$ - $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_0^k = \sigma(z_0)$ ; compact (= locally uniform) summability in a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  is defined accordingly.

It was shown by F. Leja [5] that a regular Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  sums any given power series (1) with  $R > 0$  at most at countably many points outside the disc of convergence, and these points can only accumulate on  $|z| = R$ . This result was recently generalized for non-regular Nörlund methods by the second author [6]. In this paper we will deal with the problem of how these points of  $(N, p)$ -summability can be characterized and whether it is possible to prescribe summability points. Also, it was pointed out in [2] that the original proofs of Leja's and Stadtmüller's theorem contain a gap. As a by-product of our results we obtain a new and short proof of that theorem that eliminates the gap.

## 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF $(N, p)$ -METHODS

From the theorem of Silverman and Toeplitz (see, e.g., [4, p. 43]) we get that a Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  is regular if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n \frac{1}{|P_n|} \sum_{\nu=0}^n |p_\nu| < \infty.$$

Now let  $(N, p)$  be an arbitrary Nörlund method. Then the numbers

$$\frac{p_n}{P_n} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

have a strong influence on the behaviour of the method as is apparent, e.g., in [6]. We first note the following result; its simple proof is omitted.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method, and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\frac{p_n}{P_n} \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\frac{P_{n-\nu}}{P_n} \rightarrow \alpha(1-\alpha)^\nu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ;
- (iii)  $\frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n} \rightarrow 1-\alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $\frac{P_{n-\nu}}{P_n} \rightarrow (1-\alpha)^\nu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If the sequence  $(p_n/P_n)$  is divergent, then the  $(N, p)$ -method sums no power series (1) with  $a_1 \neq 0$  compactly in any neighbourhood of  $z_0 = 0$ . For if we assume that

$$\sigma_n(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{P_{n-\nu}}{P_n} a_\nu z^\nu$$

converges compactly in a neighbourhood of 0, then  $(P_{n-1}/P_n)$  and consequently  $(P_{n-1}/P_n)$  converges, leading to a contradiction on account of Lemma 2.1.

Thus, in this paper we will only consider Nörlund methods  $(N, p)$  with the property that  $(p_n/P_n)$  is convergent.

If  $(N, p)$  is a regular method, hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = 0$ , and  $f$  is any power series (1) with  $R > 0$ , then  $f$  is compactly  $(N, p)$ -summable in  $|z| < R$  to the limit function  $f$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha$  is arbitrary, we have:

**Theorem A.** Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n} = \alpha$ ;
- (ii) if  $f$  is a power series (1) with  $R > 0$ , then  $f$  is compactly  $(N, p)$ -summable in  $|z| < R/|1 - \alpha|$  to the limit function  $f((1 - \alpha)z)$ .

For a proof see [6, Theorem 5]. There the limit function  $\sigma$  was given as

$$\sigma(z) = f(z) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha(1 - \alpha)^{\nu} \{s_{\nu}(z) - f(z)\} = f(z) - \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha(1 - \alpha)^{\nu} \sum_{\mu=\nu+1}^{\infty} a_{\mu} z^{\mu}$$

for small values of  $z$ . By uniform convergence we obtain

$$\sigma(z) = f(z) - \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \left( \alpha \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu-1} (1 - \alpha)^{\nu} \right) a_{\mu} z^{\mu} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} a_{\mu} ((1 - \alpha)z)^{\mu} = f((1 - \alpha)z).$$

Since  $f$  is analytic in  $|z| < R$ ,  $f((1 - \alpha)z)$  is analytic for  $|z| < R/|1 - \alpha|$ , and by the identity theorem for holomorphic functions we get that  $\sigma(z) = f((1 - \alpha)z)$  in  $|z| < R/|1 - \alpha|$ .

In the case of  $\alpha = 0$  the theorem was obtained by Agnew [1, Theorem 5] for the equivalent series-sequence transform.

In the case of  $\alpha = 1$  Theorem A says: If  $(N, p)$  is a Nörlund method with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = 1$ , then each power series  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  with  $R > 0$  is compactly  $(N, p)$ -summable in  $\mathbb{C}$  to the value  $f(0) = a_0$ .

Thus from now on we may assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n \neq 1$ .

In our further investigations we will need the following property of summability methods that is a generalization of left-translativity.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . A summability method  $A$  is called  $\lambda$ -left-translative if  $A\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \sigma$  implies that  $A\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s'_n = \lambda\sigma$ , where  $s'_0 = 0$  and  $s'_n = s_{n-1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Any Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha$  is  $(1 - \alpha)$ -left-translative.

*Proof.* Let  $(s_n)$  be a sequence with  $(N, p)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \sigma$ . Then for the  $(N, p)$ -transforms of  $(s'_n)$  with  $s'_0 = 0$  and  $s'_n = s_{n-1}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) we obtain with Lemma 2.1

$$\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s'_{\nu} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} p_{n-(\nu+1)} s_{\nu} = \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n} \left( \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1-\nu} s_{\nu} \right) \rightarrow (1 - \alpha)\sigma$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

We note the following result for general  $\lambda$ -left-translative methods.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\lambda$ -left-translative summability method,  $f$  a power series (1), and  $Q$  a polynomial. If  $A$  sums  $f$  at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  to the value  $\sigma$ , then it sums the (formal) power series of  $Qf$  about 0 at  $z_0$  to the value  $Q(\lambda z_0)\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the case  $Q(z) = z$ ; by induction on the degree of  $Q$  and by the linearity of  $A$  the result follows for arbitrary polynomials  $Q$ .

By assumption we have  $A\text{-}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_0^k = \sigma$  and hence  $A\text{-}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_0^{k+1} = z_0 \sigma$  by the linearity of  $A$ . Defining  $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z_0^{k+1}$  and  $s'_0 = 0$ ,  $s'_n = s_{n-1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $s'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k-1} z_0^k$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ). Since  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -left-translative, we obtain

$$A\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s'_n = \lambda \cdot A\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lambda z_0 \sigma.$$

But  $s'_n$  is also the  $n$ -th partial sum at  $z_0$  of the power series of  $zf(z)$  about 0.  $\square$

Vermes [7] has obtained the corresponding result for regular left-translative series-sequence methods.

### 3. NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR SUMMABILITY AND LEJA'S THEOREM

If  $(N, p)$  is a Nörlund method, then we associate to it the power series

$$p(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k w^k \quad \text{and} \quad P(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w^k.$$

A short calculation shows that formally we have  $p(w) = (1-w)P(w)$ . If now  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha$ , then the radius of convergence of  $P$  is  $|1-\alpha|$  by Lemma 2.1, and hence  $p$  and  $P$  are holomorphic functions in  $|w| < |1-\alpha|$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method,  $(s_n)$  a sequence, and  $(\sigma_n)$  its  $(N, p)$ -transform. Then we have formally*

$$P(w) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k w^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sigma_k w^k,$$

where  $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* Since, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_{\nu} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} u_k = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n P_{n-\nu} u_{\nu},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sigma_k w^k &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^k P_{k-\nu} u_{\nu} \right) w^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w^k \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k w^k = P(w) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k w^k. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Our first main result is:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$ . If  $(N, p)$  sums a power series  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  at a point  $z_0 \neq 0$ , then:

- (i)  $f$  has a positive radius of convergence  $R$ ,
- (ii)  $f$  has a meromorphic continuation into  $|z| < |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$ , and
- (iii)  $f$  has a pole  $\zeta$  with  $|\zeta| < |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$  only if  $\omega := \zeta/z_0$  is a zero of  $P$  and the order of the pole  $\zeta$  is not greater than the order of the zero  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* If  $(\sigma_n)$  is the  $(N, p)$ -transform of  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_0^k$ , then by Lemma 3.1, setting  $u_k = a_k z_0^k$ , we get  $P(w) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z_0 w)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sigma_k w^k$ , hence

$$P(w) \cdot f(z_0 w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sigma_k w^k.$$

Since, by the remark preceding Lemma 3.1,  $P$  is holomorphic in  $|w| < |1 - \alpha|$ ; and since  $(\sigma_n)$  is convergent, we see that  $P(w) f(z_0 w)$  is holomorphic in  $|w| < |1 - \alpha|$ . Now consider  $g(z) := P(z/z_0) f(z)$ . Then  $g$  is holomorphic in  $|z| < |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$ , which implies (ii) and (iii). And (i) follows since  $P(0) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** In Theorem 3.2 it suffices to assume that the  $(N, p)$ -transform  $(\sigma_n)$  of the power series  $f$  at  $z_0$  satisfies  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma_n|^{1/n} \leq 1$ , as the proof shows.

We define for convenience:

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $f$  be a power series (1). Then the number

$$R_m := \sup\{r > 0 : f \text{ is holomorphic at } 0 \text{ and meromorphic in } |z| < r\}$$

(with  $\sup \emptyset = 0$ ) is called the *radius of meromorphy* of  $f$ .

From Theorem 3.2 we get immediately:

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$  and  $f$  a power series (1). Then:

- (i) The method  $(N, p)$  does not sum  $f$  at any point  $z$  with  $|z| > R_m/|1 - \alpha|$ .
- (ii) If  $P$  has no zeros in  $|w| < |1 - \alpha|$ , then  $(N, p)$  does not sum  $f$  at any point  $z$  with  $|z| > R/|1 - \alpha|$ .

In the particular case of regular Nörlund methods, when  $\alpha = 0$ , assertion (ii) was already noted by Leja [5]. It applies in particular to the Cesàro methods  $C_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ ). See also Bouligand [3].

Theorem 3.2 also leads to a new and short proof of Leja's theorem and its generalization due to the second author.

**Theorem 3.6** [6, Theorem 8]. Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$$

and  $f$  a power series (1). Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the method  $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  at most at finitely many points  $z$  with  $|z| > R/|1 - \alpha| + \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.5(i) we may assume that  $R_m > R > 0$ . Hence there exists a pole  $\zeta_0$  of  $f$  with  $|\zeta_0| = R$ . Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $z$  is a summability point with

$|z| > R/|1 - \alpha| + \varepsilon$ , then we have  $|\zeta_0| = R < |(1 - \alpha)z|$ , so that by Theorem 3.2 there is a zero  $\omega$  of  $P$  with  $\omega = \zeta_0/z$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad |\omega| < \frac{R}{R/|1 - \alpha| + \varepsilon} = \frac{|1 - \alpha|}{1 + \varepsilon|1 - \alpha|/R}.$$

Since  $P$  is holomorphic in  $|w| < |1 - \alpha|$ , it has only finitely many zeros  $\omega$  satisfying (2). Hence there can be only finitely many summability points  $z$  with  $|z| > R/|1 - \alpha| + \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** In fact, by Remark 3.3 we have the following stronger result: For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there can be at most finitely many points  $z$  with  $|z| > R/|1 - \alpha| + \varepsilon$  for which the  $(N, p)$ -transform  $(\sigma_n)$  of the power series  $f$  at  $z$  satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma_n|^{1/n} \leq 1$ . This corresponds to a recent result of Borwein and Jakić [2] for general summability methods.

By Theorem A we know that the  $(N, p)$ -transforms of a power series (1) are compactly convergent in  $|z| < R/|1 - \alpha|$  to the limit function  $f((1 - \alpha)z)$ . The next theorem tells us that if, more generally,  $z$  is a summability point with  $|z| < R_m/|1 - \alpha|$ , then the  $(N, p)$ -sum is also  $f((1 - \alpha)z)$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$  and  $f$  a power series (1) with  $R > 0$ . If  $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  at a point  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| < R_m/|1 - \alpha|$ , then  $(1 - \alpha)z_0$  is no pole of  $f$  and  $(N, p)$ - $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_0^k = f((1 - \alpha)z_0)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|(1 - \alpha)z_0| < R_m$ , there is a polynomial  $Q$  such that  $g = Qf$  is holomorphic in  $|z| \leq |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$ .

(a) We assume that  $(1 - \alpha)z_0$  is a pole of  $f$ . Then we can choose  $Q$  so that  $g((1 - \alpha)z_0) \neq 0$ . Now, if  $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ , then Theorem A implies that

$$(N, p) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z_0^k = g((1 - \alpha)z_0).$$

On the other hand, from the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -left-translativity of  $(N, p)$  (see Theorem 2.4) we get by Theorem 2.5

$$(N, p) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z_0^k = Q((1 - \alpha)z_0)\sigma,$$

where  $\sigma = (N, p)$ - $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_0^k$ . Hence  $Q((1 - \alpha)z_0)\sigma = g((1 - \alpha)z_0) \neq 0$ . This implies that  $Q((1 - \alpha)z_0) \neq 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $f$  has a pole at  $(1 - \alpha)z_0$ .

(b) Now, since  $(1 - \alpha)z_0$  cannot be a pole of  $f$ , we can choose  $Q$  so that  $Q((1 - \alpha)z_0) \neq 0$ . Then similar conclusions as in (a) lead to

$$Q((1 - \alpha)z_0)\sigma = g((1 - \alpha)z_0) = Q((1 - \alpha)z_0)f((1 - \alpha)z_0),$$

hence

$$(N, p) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z_0^k = \sigma = f((1 - \alpha)z_0). \quad \square$$

## 4. CHARACTERIZATION OF SUMMABILITY POINTS

We have seen that if  $(N, p)$  is a Nörlund method with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$  and  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  is a power series with  $0 < R < R_m$ , then one has compact summability for  $|z| < R/|1 - \alpha|$  and no summability for  $|z| > R_m/|1 - \alpha|$ . In this section we want to characterize the points  $z$  with  $R/|1 - \alpha| \leq |z| < R_m/|1 - \alpha|$  at which summability takes place.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(P_n)$  be any sequence of complex numbers such that  $P_n \neq 0$  for almost all  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n-1}/P_n = \beta \neq 0$ . Let  $w_0$  be a point with  $0 < |w_0| < |\beta|$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w_0^k = 0$ . Define a sequence  $(Q_n)$  by*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k w^k = \frac{1}{1 - w/w_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w^k.$$

*Then  $Q_n \neq 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n-1}/Q_n = \beta$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n/P_n$  exists.*

*Proof.* Since, in a neighbourhood of 0,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k w^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{w}{w_0} \right)^k \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{P_{\nu}}{w_0^{k-\nu}} \right) w^k,$$

we have for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n &= \frac{1}{w_0^n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_k w_0^k = \frac{1}{w_0^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w_0^k - \frac{1}{w_0^n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} P_k w_0^k \\ &= -\frac{1}{w_0^n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} P_k w_0^k = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n+k+1} w_0^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{Q_n}{P_n} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{n+k+1}}{P_n} w_0^{k+1}$$

holds for sufficiently large  $n$ . We put  $\varphi_k(n) = P_{n+k+1} w_0^{k+1}/P_n$  for these  $n$ . Then we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k(n) = w_0^{k+1}/\beta^{k+1}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  by Lemma 2.1, and one verifies that for a fixed  $r$ ,  $|w_0|/|\beta| < r < 1$ , there is some  $M > 0$  such that  $|\varphi_k(n)| \leq M r^k$  for all  $k, n$ . Hence the Weierstrass  $M$ -test implies that

$$\frac{Q_n}{P_n} \rightarrow -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w_0^{k+1}}{\beta^{k+1}} \neq 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have  $Q_n \neq 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and

$$\frac{Q_{n-1}}{Q_n} = \frac{Q_{n-1}}{P_{n-1}} \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n} \frac{P_n}{Q_n} \rightarrow \beta$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < |w_0| < |1 - \alpha|$ . If  $P$  has a zero of order  $M \geq N$  at  $w_0$ , then*

$(N, p)$  sums the power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k = \frac{1}{(1-z)^N}$$

at  $z_0 = 1/\omega_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\sigma_n)$  be the  $(N, p)$ -transform of the given power series at  $1/\omega_0$ . Then Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sigma_k w^k = \frac{1}{(1-w/\omega_0)^N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w^k$$

for small values of  $w$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n-1}/P_n = 1 - \alpha \neq 0$  (Lemma 2.1), an  $N$ -fold application of Lemma 4.1 implies the existence of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n \sigma_n}{P_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n. \quad \square$$

We can now obtain the desired characterization of summability points.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(N, p)$  be a Nörlund method with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$ ,  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  a power series with  $0 < R < R_m$ , and  $z_0$  a point with  $R/|1 - \alpha| \leq |z_0| < R_m/|1 - \alpha|$ . Then  $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  at  $z_0$  if and only if the following assertions hold:

- (i) if  $\zeta$  is a pole of  $f$  with  $|\zeta| < |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$  of order  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $P$  has a zero at  $\omega := \zeta/z_0$  of order  $M \geq N$ ;
- (ii) if  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_l$  are the poles of  $f$  on  $|z| = |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$  (if there are any) with orders  $N_1, \dots, N_l$ , and if

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_j} \frac{c_{\nu}^{(j)}}{(z - \zeta_j)^{\nu}}$$

is the sum of the principal parts of  $f$  at these poles, then  $(N, p)$  sums the power series  $g$  at  $z_0$ .

*Proof.* Necessity: Assume that  $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  at  $z_0$ . Then condition (i) follows from Theorem 3.2. To derive (ii) we write

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= g(z) + \tilde{g}(z) + h(z) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_j} \frac{c_{\nu}^{(j)}}{(z - \zeta_j)^{\nu}} + \sum_{j=l+1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_j} \frac{c_{\nu}^{(j)}}{(z - \zeta_j)^{\nu}} + h(z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_{l+1}, \dots, \zeta_m$  are the poles of  $f$  in  $|z| < |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$  (if there are any) with orders  $N_{l+1}, \dots, N_m$ , and  $\tilde{g}$  is the sum of the principal parts of  $f$  at these poles. Since

$$\frac{c_{\nu}^{(j)}}{(z - \zeta_j)^{\nu}} = \frac{(-1)^{\nu} c_{\nu}^{(j)} / \zeta_j^{\nu}}{(1 - z/\zeta_j)^{\nu}},$$

(i) and Lemma 4.2 imply that  $(N, p)$  sums the power series of  $\tilde{g}$  about 0 at  $z_0$ . Since  $h$  is holomorphic in  $|z| \leq |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$ , Theorem A implies that



$(N, p)$  also sums its power series about 0 at  $z_0$ . Hence  $(N, p)$  sums the power series of  $g = f - \tilde{g} - h$  about 0 at  $z_0$ .

Sufficiency: Now assume that (i) and (ii) hold. As above we write  $f = g + \tilde{g} + h$  and note that (ii), (i) with Lemma 4.2, and Theorem A imply that  $(N, p)$  sums the power series of  $g$ ,  $\tilde{g}$ , and  $h$ , respectively, about 0 at the point  $z_0$ . Hence the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** The theorem solves the problem of characterization of summability points completely if  $f$  has no poles on  $|z| = |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$ . In that case the zeros of  $P$  govern the summability behaviour. In the general case the problem is reduced to the question when  $(N, p)$  sums a linear combination of functions  $1/(z - \zeta)^\nu$  with  $|\zeta| = |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  at the point  $z_0$ . Also, the theorem leaves open the problem of characterizing summability points  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| = R_m/|1 - \alpha|$ .

## 5. PRESCRIBING SUMMABILITY POINTS

A Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha \neq 1$  sums any given power series (1) at most at finitely many points in  $|z| > R/|1 - \alpha| + \varepsilon$ , hence at most at countably many points in  $|z| > R/|1 - \alpha|$ . Now we ask if one can prescribe summability points  $z_0$  there. If we assume that  $|z_0| \neq R_m/|1 - \alpha|$ , Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 3.8 tell us that we must have  $|z_0| < R_m/|1 - \alpha|$  and that  $(1 - \alpha)z_0$  is no pole of  $f$ . Under the given assumption these turn out to be the only restrictions. We first need:

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $T(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k w^k$  be a polynomial with  $T(0) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , with  $T(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Then there exists a polynomial  $U$  such that the polynomial  $\tilde{T}(w) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{T}_k w^k := U(w)T(w)$  satisfies  $\tilde{T}(0) \neq 0$ ,  $\tilde{T}(\lambda) \neq 0$ , and  $\sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{T}_k \lambda^k \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the numbers  $\tau_n := \sum_{k=0}^n T_k \lambda^k$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ). If  $\tau_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ , then we may take  $U(w) \equiv 1$ . Else there are  $n_0, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n_0 < n_1$ , such that  $\tau_n \neq 0$  if  $n \leq n_0$  and  $n \geq n_1$ . For  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  put  $\tilde{T}(w) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{T}_k w^k := (w - c)T(w)$ . Then we have  $\tilde{\tau}_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{T}_k \lambda^k = \lambda \tau_{n-1} - c \tau_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (with  $\tau_{-1} := 0$ ). Hence we can choose  $c$  in such a way that  $\tilde{\tau}_n \neq 0$  for  $n \leq n_0 + 1$  and  $n \geq n_1$ . Repeating this process if necessary we arrive at the desired polynomial.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  be a power series with  $0 < R < R_m$ , and let  $\alpha \neq 1$ . Let  $S$  be a finite set of points in  $R/|1 - \alpha| \leq |z| < R_m/|1 - \alpha|$  such that  $(1 - \alpha)z$  is no pole of  $f$  for all  $z \in S$ . Then there exists a Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha$  that sums  $f$  at every point of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Since for  $z \in S$  we have  $|(1 - \alpha)z| < R_m$ , there are only finitely many poles  $\zeta$  of  $f$  with  $|\zeta| \leq |(1 - \alpha)z|$ . Hence there exists a polynomial  $T(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k w^k$  with  $T(0) \neq 0$  and  $T(1 - \alpha) \neq 0$  that has a zero at every point  $\omega$  of the form  $\omega = \zeta/z$  where  $z \in S$  and  $\zeta$  is a pole of  $f$  with  $|\zeta| \leq |(1 - \alpha)z|$  and the order of the zero  $\omega$  is not smaller than the order of the pole  $\zeta$ . By Lemma 5.1 we can assume that in addition  $\sum_{k=0}^n T_k (1 - \alpha)^k \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

We put

$$P(w) = \frac{1}{1 - w/(1 - \alpha)} T(w)$$

and claim that  $(N, p)$  is the desired Nörlund method.

First note that  $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n T_k (1 - \alpha)^k / (1 - \alpha)^n \neq 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n-1}/P_n = 1 - \alpha$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = \alpha$  by Lemma 2.1.

Now let  $z_0 \in S$ . We have to show that  $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  at  $z_0$ . Applying Theorem 4.3 we need to show that conditions (i) and (ii) hold there. Condition (i) follows from the construction of  $T$  and  $P$ . As for (ii), let  $\zeta$  be any pole of  $f$  with  $|\zeta| = |(1 - \alpha)z_0|$  with order  $N$ . It now suffices to show that  $(N, p)$  sums the power series

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k = \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^\nu}$$

at  $z_0$  for any  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . If  $(\sigma_n)$  is the  $(N, p)$ -transform of  $g$  at  $z_0$ , then by Lemma 3.1 we have for small values of  $w$

$$P(w) \cdot \frac{1}{(z_0 w - \zeta)^\nu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sigma_k w^k.$$

We put  $Q_n := P_n \sigma_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) and

$$\tilde{Q}(w) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}_k w^k := P(w) \cdot \frac{1 - w/(1 - \alpha)}{(z_0 w - \zeta)^\nu} = \frac{T(w)}{(z_0 w - \zeta)^\nu}.$$

By construction of  $T$  we see that  $\tilde{Q}$  is a polynomial with  $\tilde{Q}(1 - \alpha) \neq 0$ . On the one hand we now have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k w^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sigma_k w^k = \frac{1}{1 - w/(1 - \alpha)} \tilde{Q}(w),$$

so that

$$(1 - \alpha)^n Q_n = (1 - \alpha)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)^{n-k}} \tilde{Q}_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{Q}_k (1 - \alpha)^k \rightarrow \tilde{Q}(1 - \alpha)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This shows that  $Q_n \neq 0$  for large  $n$  and that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n-k}/Q_n = (1 - \alpha)^k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . On the other hand we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k w^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k w^k \cdot (z_0 w - \zeta)^\nu,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_n}{Q_n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \frac{Q_{n-k}}{Q_n} \binom{\nu}{k} z_0^k (-\zeta)^{\nu-k} \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \binom{\nu}{k} ((1 - \alpha)z_0)^k (-\zeta)^{\nu-k} = ((1 - \alpha)z_0 - \zeta)^\nu \neq 0; \end{aligned}$$

note that  $(1 - \alpha)z_0 \neq \zeta$  by assumption. This implies that

$$\sigma_n = \frac{Q_n}{P_n}$$

converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which had to be shown.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* If  $\alpha = 0$ , the Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  constructed in the above proof is even regular. For in that case we have  $p(w) = (1 - w)P(w) = T(w)$ , which is a polynomial, so that  $\sup_n \sum_{\nu=0}^n |p_\nu|/|P_n| < \infty$  (see Section 2). For the function  $f(z) = 1/(R - z)$  and  $\alpha = 1 - R$  Theorem 5.2 was obtained in [2, Section 5].

## 6. REGULAR NÖRLUND METHODS

We briefly summarize here our main results for regular Nörlund methods  $(N, p)$ . In that case we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = 0$  (see Section 2).

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  be a power series with  $0 \leq R \leq R_m \leq \infty$ , and let  $(N, p)$  be a regular Nörlund method. Then:

- If  $R = 0$ , then  $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  at no point of  $|z| > 0$  (Theorem 3.2).

Now assume that  $R > 0$ . Then:

- $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  compactly in  $|z| < R$  to the limit function  $f$  (Theorem A).
- $(N, p)$  sums  $f$  at most at finitely many points in  $R + \varepsilon < |z| \leq R_m$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ), hence at most at countably many points in  $R < |z| \leq R_m$  (Theorem 3.6). Moreover, if  $|z| < R_m$ , then a summation point is not a pole of  $f$  and the value of summation is  $f(z)$  (Theorem 3.8).
- $(N, p)$  cannot sum  $f$  at any point of  $|z| > R_m$ ; if  $P$  has no zeros in  $|w| < 1$ , then  $(N, p)$  does not sum  $f$  at any point of  $|z| > R$  (Corollary 3.5).

Conversely:

- If  $S$  is a finite set of points  $z$  with  $R \leq |z| < R_m$  that does not contain any pole of  $f$ , then there exists a regular Nörlund method  $(N, p)$  that sums  $f$  at every point of  $S$  (Theorem 5.2 and Remark 5.3).

## REFERENCES

1. R. P. Agnew, *Summability of power series*, Amer. Math. Monthly **53** (1946), 251–259.
2. D. Borwein and A. Jakimovski, *Matrix transformations of power series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), 511–523.
3. G. Bouligand, *Sur la comparaison de certains procédés de sommation des séries divergentes*, Enseign. Math. **26** (1927), 15–27.
4. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949.
5. F. Leja, *Sur la sommation des séries entières par la méthode des moyennes*, Bull. Sci. Math. **54** (1930), 239–245.

6. K. Stadtmüller, *Summability of power series by non-regular Nörlund-methods*, J. Approx. Theory **68** (1992), 33–44.
7. P. Vermes, *The application of  $\gamma$ -matrices to Taylor series*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **8** (1948), 43–49.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, FERNUNIVERSITÄT HAGEN, 58084 HAGEN, GERMANY  
E-mail address: KG.Grosse-Erdmann@FernUni-Hagen.de

ABTEILUNG MATHEMATIK III, UNIVERSITÄT ULM, 89069 ULM, GERMANY